Evolution of Flight

Before you begin, complete the activities found on:

http://www.ucmp.berkeley.edu/education/explorations/reslab/flight/index.htm

This is step five of the process, so you will not be successful unless you have taken accurate notes on the cladograms and other activities presented there

Procedures:

- 1) Brainstorm with your teammates about what the data in each of the cladograms and the Feature Table suggest about how and when flight evolved in the dinosaur lineage. Make sure that you consider the following:
- Which features are associated with flight? Which are absolutely essential for flight?
- When did each of these features first appear in the fossil record?
- Did these features evolve for flight or did they originally serve other functions?
- What was "necessary" for flight to evolve?
- 2) Based on this evidence at hand, develop a hypothesis about the evolution of flight. If possible, this hypothesis should describe both the "how" and the "when."
- 3) Your hypothesis must be well supported.
- a. Consider the information in all of the cladograms.
- b. Use direct evidence to support inferences.
- c. Suggest other evidence you could look for to support your hypothesis.
- d. Suggest other evidence you could look for to disprove your hypothesis.

When you finish, you may want to explore the hypothesis proposed by Dr. Kevin Padian, a paleontologist who specializes in the evolution of flight in dinosaurs (Section #6).

Rubric for Evolution Project

	Excellent	Successful	Acceptable	Attempted
Content	Describes both the	Describes either	Does not describe	Does not address
Accuracy	"how" and the	the "how" or the	either the "how" or	the issue
	"when."	"when."	the "when."	described.
	10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2	1 0
Content depth	Evolutionary	Evolutionary	Evolutionary	Evolutionary
	concepts are well	concepts are	concepts are	concepts are not
	explained and	explained but lack	mentioned.	mentioned.
	documented	documentation		
	10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2	1 0
Make inferences	Uses direct	Uses only one	Uses only	Does not use either
	evidence from	source of direct	inference.	direct evidence or
	multiple sources to	evidence.		inference.
	substantiate			
	claims.			
	10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2	1 0
Suggest other	Identifies missing	Identifies evidence	Identifies missing	Does not suggest
evidence to look	evidence to	that could disprove	evidence that	other evidence to
for.	support and to	the claims.	could support the	look for.
	disprove the		claim.	
	claims.			
	10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2	1 0
Be neat, well	Is neat and well	Is mostly neat and	Parts are neat and	Is not neat or well
organized and	organized with	organized and	organized with	organized and/or
communicate	information clearly	most information	some information	lacks information.
effectively.	and effectively	is clearly	clearly presented.	
	communicated.	presented.		
	10 9 8	7 6 5	4 3 2	1 0

Total	Rubric	Score.	
толаг	IX III DI IC.	JUDIC	

50-41 = Excellent

40-31 = Successful

30-21 = Acceptable

20-0 = Poor